

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,098	01/18/2002	Yuko Tsusaka	2002_0045A	6545
7590 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			SHANG, ANNAN Q	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20006	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/050,098	TSUSAKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANNAN Q. SHANG	2623		

	7 and at Q. Olbato	2020					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places that application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 To RF 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 To CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			oauoo				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,					
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/Annan Q Shang/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2623					
	,						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claims 1-21, rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russo (5.619.247) in view of Christopoubse at al (2001/0047517) of the last office action, applicant argues that the prior art of records do not teach the amended claim limitations, i.e, reproducing in real time (see page 9+ of Applicant's Remarks).

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner notes applicant's arguments, however, Russo teaches all the claim limitations as discussed with respect to the rejection below, but silent to where the reproduction control section reset the flag when the billing conditions contained in the management data are satisfied at a time when the content with the predetermined special effect to the portion of the content is being reproduced (real time) in accordance with the specific data. However, Christopoulous, disclose methed and apparatus for intelligent transcoding of multimedia data, storing contents and hints or specific data as a pair, restricting a produced substance of the content, etc., and further discloses monitoring the client/device conditions or status information in real time applied in real time during reproduction of the content, transcoding hints, based on the client/device status, state or conditions during the production of the content, transcoding hints, based on the client/device status, state or conditions during the production of the content (page 2, 1003-50036), 10039-0040, [10043] and [0048]). Christopoulos teaches that the transcoding pateway can reside at the client or the server and further disloses that the video can be transcoded in real time using the transcoding hints (10010) and (10033-0035). Hence arruments are not persuasive. The finality of the last office action is proper and hereby maintained.